

Counting isogenous principally-polarized abelian varieties over finite fields

Everett W. Howe

Center for Communications Research, La Jolla

Arithmetic of Low-Dimensional Abelian Varieties
ICERM, 3–7 June 2019

(Corrected and edited slides)

email: however@alumni.caltech.edu

Web site: ewhowe.com

Twitter: [@howe](https://twitter.com/howe)

Motivations

Formative mathematical experiences

Undergraduate: Single-variable complex analysis

- Does a continuous $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the Cauchy–Riemann relations? Then it's infinitely differentiable!
- Got an open simply-connected proper subset of \mathbb{C} ? It's conformally equivalent to the interior of the unit disk!
- Got an intractable integral on the real line to compute? Use Cauchy's theorem to find its value!
- Life is beautiful and every nice thing is true.

Graduate school: Several complex variables

- Life is brutal and short. Give up now.
- That's literally all I remember from my several complex variables class.

Life lesson: One-dimensional objects are friendly and fun to work with.

One-dimensional objects and their friends

Number rings

- Maximal orders; non-maximal orders
- Ideals; ideal class groups
- Modules over number rings aren't necessarily one-dimensional, but they're still pretty friendly

Curves, and things to study about them

- Over \mathbb{Q} : Number of rational points; *finding* rational points; . . .
- Over finite fields: Curves with many points for their genus, or few; distribution of Frobenius eigenvalues; . . .
- Over any field: Automorphism groups; decomposition of Jacobians; . . .

. . . Hold on there bucko, Jacobians are higher-dimensional objects!

The dream

Can we understand Jacobians, general abelian varieties, polarizations, and so forth, using *one-dimensional objects*?

Deligne (1969)

For ordinary abelian varieties over finite fields: *yes*.

Centeleghe and Stix (2015)

For (not quite completely general) abelian varieties over finite *prime* fields: *yes*.

This talk:

I will sketch Deligne's result and some follow-on work, and use it to address the question of determining the number of principally-polarized varieties in a simple ordinary isogeny class.

Deligne modules

Ordinary abelian varieties

Suppose

- k is a finite field of characteristic p ,
- A is a g -dimensional abelian variety over k ,
- f is the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius (the *Weil polynomial*) for A . ($f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is monic, degree $2g$, and its complex roots have magnitude \sqrt{q} .)

We say that A is **ordinary** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- $\#A(\bar{k})[p] = p^g$;
- The local-local group scheme α_p can't be embedded into A ;
- Exactly half of the roots of f in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ are p -adic units;
- The middle coefficient of f (that is, the coefficient of x^g) is coprime to p .

The category of Deligne modules

We define the category \mathcal{L}_q of **Deligne modules over \mathbb{F}_q** by specifying its objects and morphisms.

Objects

Pairs (T, F) , where:

- T is a finitely-generated free \mathbb{Z} -module of even rank, and
- F is an endomorphism of T such that
 - The endomorphism $F \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ of the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $T \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is semi-simple, and its complex eigenvalues have magnitude \sqrt{q} ;
 - Exactly half of the roots in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of the characteristic polynomial of F are p -adic units;
 - There is an endomorphism V of T with $FV = q$.

Morphisms from (T_1, F_1) to (T_2, F_2)

\mathbb{Z} -module morphisms $\varphi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ such that $F_2\varphi(x) = \varphi(F_1x)$ for all $x \in T_1$.

Deligne's equivalence of categories

Theorem (Deligne, 1969)

There is an equivalence between the category of ordinary abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_q and the category \mathcal{L}_q that takes g -dimensional varieties to pairs (T, F) with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} T = 2g$.

The equivalence requires a nasty choice

Let W be the ring of Witt vectors over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Let ε be an embedding of W into \mathbb{C} .

$$\begin{aligned} A/\mathbb{F}_q &\rightsquigarrow \text{Serre–Tate canonical lift of } A \text{ over } W \\ &\rightsquigarrow \tilde{A}/\mathbb{C} := \text{base extension of the canonical lift to } \mathbb{C} \text{ via } \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Let $T = H_1(\tilde{A})$; let F be the lift of Frobenius. The equivalence sends A to (T, F) .

Extending the equivalence: Dual varieties

Definition

Given (T, F) in \mathcal{L}_q , let $\widehat{T} = \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{Z})$, so there is a natural pairing $T \times \widehat{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Let \widehat{F} be the endomorphism of \widehat{T} such that for all $x \in T$ and $y \in \widehat{T}$ we have

$$(x, \widehat{F}y) = (Vx, y).$$

The **dual** of (T, F) is $(\widehat{T}, \widehat{F})$.

Theorem (H., 1995)

Deligne's equivalence respects duality.

Extending the equivalence: Polarizations

Given $(T, F) \in \mathcal{L}_q$, let

$$R = \mathbb{Z}[F, V] \subseteq \text{End}(T, F)$$

$$K = R \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \prod K_i$$

The p -adic valuation v on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ obtained from $\varepsilon: W \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ gives a **CM-type** on K :

$$\Phi := \{\varphi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid v(\varphi(F)) > 0\}.$$

Let ι be a totally imaginary element of K such that

$$\forall \varphi \in \Phi : \varphi(\iota) \text{ is positive imaginary.}$$

(We say that such an ι is **Φ -positive**.)

Polarizations, continued

Suppose λ is an isogeny from (T, F) to its dual $(\widehat{T}, \widehat{F})$.
This gives us a pairing $b : T \times T \rightarrow T \times \widehat{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition

The isogeny λ is a **polarization** if

- The pairing b is alternating, and
- The pairing $(x, y) \mapsto b(\iota x, y)$ on $T \times T$ is symmetric and positive definite.

Theorem (H., 1995)

Deligne's equivalence takes polarizations to polarizations.

Applications

Principally-polarized varieties with maximal endomorphism rings

Consider a simple ordinary isogeny class I over \mathbb{F}_q with Weil polynomial f . Let K be the number field defined by f , and let \mathcal{O} be the maximal order in K . Let ι be a Φ -positive element as above, and let \mathfrak{d} be the different of \mathcal{O} .

Under Deligne's equivalence:

$$\{A \in I \text{ with } \text{End } A \cong \mathcal{O}\} / \cong \longleftrightarrow \{\text{fractional ideals } \mathfrak{A} \text{ of } \mathcal{O}\} / \sim$$

$$\text{dual } \widehat{A} \text{ of } A \longleftrightarrow \text{ideal } \mathfrak{d}^{-1} \overline{\mathfrak{A}}^{-1}$$

$$\text{principal polarization of } A \longleftrightarrow \text{totally positive } x \in K^+ \text{ with } \iota x \mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{d}^{-1} \overline{\mathfrak{A}}^{-1}$$

So $x \gg 0$ gives a principal polarization of \mathfrak{A} if and only if $x \mathfrak{A} \overline{\mathfrak{A}} = (\iota \mathfrak{d})^{-1}$.

That is, $\mathfrak{A} \overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $(\iota \mathfrak{d})^{-1}$ give the same element of the narrow class group of \mathcal{O}^+ .

Maximal endomorphism rings, continued

Theorem (essentially Deligne plus Shimura, see [H. 1995])

If the norm map $\text{Pic } \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Pic}^+ \mathcal{O}^+$ is surjective, there are $\# \text{Pic } \mathcal{O} / \# \text{Pic}^+ \mathcal{O}^+$ principally-polarizable varieties A/\mathbb{F}_q with Weil polynomial f and with $\text{End } A \cong \mathcal{O}$.

The number of non-isomorphic principal polarizations on such an A is equal to the index of the norms of the units of \mathcal{O} in the totally-positive units of \mathcal{O}^+ .

If $\text{Pic } \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Pic}^+ \mathcal{O}^+$ is *not* surjective, then there are either no such A or there are $2(\# \text{Pic } \mathcal{O} / \# \text{Pic}^+ \mathcal{O}^+)$ of them. [H. 1995] says how to determine which.

[$\text{Pic } \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Pic}^+ \mathcal{O}^+$ is surjective exactly when K/K^+ is ramified at a finite prime.]

Generalizing to non-maximal orders

Suppose A/\mathbb{F}_q is a simple ordinary abelian variety with Weil polynomial f ,
let K be the number field defined by f ,
and let $\pi \in K$ be a root of f .

We know that $\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}] \subseteq \text{End } A \subseteq \mathcal{O}$.

If A has a principal polarization then $\text{End } A$ is stable under complex conjugation.

Question

If R is an order with $\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}] \subseteq R \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ that is stable under complex conjugation, is there a Picard group formula for the number of principally-polarized varieties with endomorphism ring R ?

Digression on Gorenstein rings

An order R in a number field is **Gorenstein** if every fractional R -ideal \mathfrak{A} with $\text{End } \mathfrak{A} = R$ is actually an *invertible* R -ideal.

Convenient facts about Gorenstein rings

- An order R is Gorenstein if and only if its trace dual is invertible (as a fractional R -ideal).
- A ring that is a complete intersection over \mathbb{Z} is Gorenstein.
- In particular, every monogenic order $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is Gorenstein.

Picard groups are formed from invertible ideals, so...

If we want a Picard group formula for the number of (polarized) Deligne modules with a given endomorphism ring, Gorenstein rings come up naturally.

An order R in K is **convenient** if it satisfies the following properties:

- 1 R is stable under complex conjugation;
- 2 the order $R^+ := R \cap K^+$ of K^+ is Gorenstein; and
- 3 the trace dual of R is generated (as a fractional R -ideal) by its pure imaginary elements.

Originally I included a fourth assumption — that R be Gorenstein — but Marseglia observed that that follows from (1)–(3).

Note that \mathcal{O} and $\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$ are both convenient.

Theorem (H., 2019?)

Let $R \supseteq \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$ be a convenient order.

If the norm map $\text{Pic } R \rightarrow \text{Pic}^+ R^+$ is surjective, there are $\# \text{Pic } R / \# \text{Pic}^+ R^+$ principally-polarizable varieties A/\mathbb{F}_q with Weil polynomial f and with $\text{End } A \cong R$.

The number of non-isomorphic principal polarizations on such an A is equal to the index of the norms of the units of R in the totally-positive units of R^+ .

If $\text{Pic } R \rightarrow \text{Pic}^+ R^+$ is not surjective, we will still have principally-polarizable varieties with endomorphism ring R as long as ιR^\dagger (which can be viewed as an ideal of R^+) lies in the image of $\text{Pic } R$ under the norm map. This is a computable condition. [H. 1995]

Some useful ancillary results:

Theorem

Suppose $R \supseteq \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$ is an order that is stable under complex conjugation and such that R^+ is Gorenstein.

If there are elements α, β of R and fractional ideals \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} of R^+ such that $R = \mathfrak{A} \cdot \alpha + \mathfrak{B} \cdot \beta$, then R is convenient.

Theorem

Let $R \supseteq \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$ be a convenient order.

If K/K^+ is ramified at a finite prime that does not divide the conductor of R^+ , then the norm map $\text{Pic } R \rightarrow \text{Pic}^+ R^+$ is surjective.

(At this point in the talk, I gave an example on the whiteboard of a simple application of the ideas I had discussed, one that showed that having these Picard group formulas can be useful. In particular, I reviewed the argument of my 2004 paper [On the nonexistence of certain curves of genus two](#) in light of the idea of convenient orders.)

(Afterwards, I skipped the following section on “Rough estimates” and went straight to the slide on “Further questions.”)

Rough estimates

Estimating the number of principally-polarized varieties

If R is convenient, define the *minus class number* $h^-(R)$ to be $\# \text{Pic } R / \# \text{Pic } R^+$.

Combining the formulas for the number of principally-polarizable varieties and the number of principal polarizations for a given variety, we find:

Theorem

Let $R \supseteq \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$ be a convenient order with $\text{Pic } R \rightarrow \text{Pic}^+ R^+$ surjective.

The number of principally-polarized varieties (A, λ) with $\text{End } A \cong R$ is equal to either $h^-(R)$ or $h^-(R)/2$.

Estimates of minus class numbers

For convenient orders R , let Δ_R^- denote $|\Delta_R/\Delta_{R^+}|$.

Theorem (Louboutin 2006)

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, as K ranges over CM-fields of fixed degree we have

$$(\Delta_{\mathcal{O}_K}^-)^{1/2-\varepsilon} \ll h^-(\mathcal{O}_K) \ll (\Delta_{\mathcal{O}_K}^-)^{1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Remarks

- Louboutin's actual statements are much more precise than “ $\pm\varepsilon$ ”.
- This rough version is easily extended to convenient orders.

We will simply write $h^-(\mathcal{O}_K) \approx (\Delta_{\mathcal{O}_K}^-)^{1/2}$ for the relation in the theorem.

Estimates of minus discriminants

When $R = \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$, we have a formula for Δ_R^- in terms of the Frobenius angles

$$0 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \cdots < \theta_n \leq \pi$$

for the n -dimensional abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q .

Theorem (See Gerhard–Williams 2017, for example)

If $R = \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$, we have

$$(\Delta_R^-)^{1/2} = 2^{n(n+1)/2} q^{n(n+1)/4} \prod_{i < j} (\cos \theta_i - \cos \theta_j) \prod_i \sin \theta_i.$$

Heuristic connections to Katz–Sarnak distribution

For fixed dimension, and for $R = \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$, the number of principally-polarized (A, λ) with $\text{End } A \cong R$ is roughly

$$h^-(R) \approx (\Delta_R^-)^{1/2} \approx q^{n(n+1)/4} \prod_{i < j} (\cos \theta_i - \cos \theta_j) \prod_i \sin \theta_i.$$

Theorem (Vlăduț 2001)

Fix n and $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$. As $q \rightarrow \infty$, the number of isogeny classes of abelian varieties with Frobenius angles near $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ is better and better approximated by

$$c_n q^{n(n+1)/4} \prod_{i < j} (\cos \theta_i - \cos \theta_j) \prod_i \sin \theta_i \Delta\theta_1 \cdots \Delta\theta_n,$$

for an explicit constant c_n .

Combining rough estimates

Reckless and impetuous, we combine the first “equality” with Vlăduț’s result and boldly assert that the number of principally-polarized n -dimensional abelian varieties with Frobenius angles near $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ is approximately

$$d_n q^{n(n+1)/2} \prod_{i < j} (\cos \theta_i - \cos \theta_j)^2 \prod_i \sin^2 \theta_i \Delta \theta_1 \cdots \Delta \theta_n$$

for some constant d_n .

This is exactly what is given by the Katz–Sarnak distribution [Katz–Sarnak 1999]!

Further questions

- Can the shady heuristics confirming the Katz–Sarnak distribution be made into an actual argument that proves anything at all?
- Are there formulas for the number of principally-polarized (A, λ) with $\text{End } A$ an inconvenient order?
(There are algorithms for computing this [Marseglia 2018].)
- What happens when you sum up over all orders between $\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$ and \mathcal{O} ?
- How to deal with the complications for non-simple isogeny classes?
- What analogous results do we get for the Centeleghe–Stix functor?